IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Civil Action No.: 1:10-1779-TLW-SVH

ORDER

Plaintiff has brought this action to obtain judicial review of a final decision of the defendant, Commissioner of Social Security, denying her claims for disability benefits. This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed by United States Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(a), (D.S.C.). In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the decision of the Commissioner be affirmed. (Doc. # 17). The plaintiff filed objections on August 12, 2011. (Doc. # 19). The defendant filed a Reply on August 26, 2011. (Doc. # 22). This Court has carefully reviewed the Report in light of the objections filed by the plaintiff. This Court has reviewed the cited cases and the Magistrate Judge's analysis. In conducting this review, the Court applies the following standard:

The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to the Court, to which any party may file written objections...The Court is not bound by the recommendation of the magistrate judge but, instead, retains responsibility for the final determination. The Court is required to make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which no objections are

addressed. While the level of scrutiny entailed by the Court's review of the Report thus depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, the Court is free, after review, to accept, reject, or modify any of the magistrate

judge's findings or recommendations.

Wallace v. Housing Auth. of the City of Columbia, 791 F. Supp. 137, 138 (D.S.C. 1992)

(citations omitted).

In light of the standard set forth in Wallace, the Court has reviewed, de novo, the Report

and the objections. After careful review of the Report and objections thereto, the Court

ACCEPTS the Report. (Doc. # 17). Therefore, for the reasons articulated by the Magistrate

Judge, the Commissioner's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>s/Terry L. Wooten</u> United States District Judge

September 12, 2011 Florence, South Carolina