IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Christian Robert Sprinkle,)	C/A No.: 1:11-1321-JMC-SVH
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	ORDER
Sergeant Robinson; Warden Mackey; and Assistant Warden Joiner,)))	
Defendants.)	

Plaintiff Christian Robert Sprinkle, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, brought this action alleging violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment on March 13, 2013. [Entry #55]. As Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the court entered an order on March 14, 2013, pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), advising him of the importance of a motion for summary judgment and of the need for him to file an adequate response. [Entry #56]. Plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, Defendants' motion may be granted.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's *Roseboro* order, Plaintiff has failed to respond to the motion. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon this action. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff is directed to advise the court whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment by May 8, 2013. Plaintiff is further advised that if he fails to respond, this action will be recommended for

dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Shuia V. Hodges

April 24, 2013 Columbia, South Carolina Shiva V. Hodges United States Magistrate Judge