Johnson v. Leeke et al Doc. 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Wade Stepney, Jr., # 141962, a/k/a Wade Stepheney, Jr., a/k/a Wade Stephney, a/k/a Wade Stepheny, Jr.; Ronald Keiser, #349506; Jonathan Ratliff, #350678; Shaun) C/A No. 1:12-01463-JMC-SVH)
Freeman, #220186; James Mullins, #349769; Tyrone Green,))
#350109; Timothy Phillips, #342506; Franklin Holme,))
#350008; Are'Tayevious Gover, #318237; Anthony Wallace,))
#350687; Johntavious Ray, #350595; Dwayne Geddes,)
#294257; Kevin Wayne Williams; Adam Radford; Carl Farrell,)
#349478; Ifrom Colter, #350049; Steven Kranendonk,)
#350076; Bobby Mathis, #248562; Larry Lee Shockley,))
#318943; Travis F. Hipp, #350200; Keyon Butler; Talice D.)
Dixon, #350064; Jeffery Simmons, #250532; Larry Larson;	<i>)</i>)
Curtis Jordan; Corey Dozier, #350498; William Embree,)
#350210; Steve Allison, #293718; Terry Johnson, #228836;	<i>)</i>)
John Mitchell, #342074; Jorge P. Lopez, #350601; LaShoron))
T. Bacon, #350646; Leslie C. Hunt, #316022; Regernold))
McFadden; Joe Louis Byers; Ricky Black; Willie Elledge;))
Samuel Jones; Jason D. Kelley, #350381; Clinton Owens,)
#307232; Kris Jenkins, #323212; Charles Heatley, #299545;)
Mark Smalls, #350735; Hollis, #349955; Chappell, #214069;)
Tracey, # 350484; Edwards, # 250141; Mark Harmon, #)
130332; William Sander, # 221035; Larry Simpson, # 218846;)
John Szwapa, # 349960; John Oliva, # 349960; Kennith	ORDER
McMullan, # 349402; Rodney Cagle, # 350383; Jermaine) ORDER
Harris, # 336851; Donald Rose, # 266971; John Trott, #)
350201; Brad Strother, # 271047; Michael B. Moseley, #)
325810; Joseph Reid, # 350094; Eric Jones, # 350551; Marcus)
Payne, # 335753; Angelo Rodriquez, # 350628; Jerome)
Groffen, #332801; James Wigfall, #219545; Julius McDaniel,)
#350371; Michael A. McKinney, #168361; Shane D. Mincey,)
350647; Chistopher Amos, # 271629,)
11 330047, Chistopher ranos, 11 27 1027,)
)
Plaintiffs,	<i>)</i>)
riantins,)
VS.)
)
Nelson V Leeke; Byers, SCDC Director; Unknown(s) SCDC Defendants,))
Defendants.)))
	,

This is a civil rights action filed by multiple state prisoners, proceeding *pro se*. The Fourth Circuit has held that "the competence of a layman representing himself" is "clearly too limited to allow him to risk the rights of others." *Oxendine v. Williams*, 509 F.2d 1405, 1407 (4th Cir. 1975)(holding that a prisoner, proceeding *pro se*, cannot represent other prisoners in a class action); *see also Hummer v. Dalton*, 657 F.2d 621, 625-26 (4th Cir. 1981)(suit is "confined to redress for violation of his own personal rights and not one by him as a knighterrant for all prisoners"). Therefore, to the extent Plaintiffs wish to bring a class action lawsuit, they cannot do so.

Although this case cannot proceed as a class action lawsuit, the complaint has been signed by multiple prisoners, indicating a desire to litigate issues concerning the conditions of their confinement. As the Plaintiffs in this case are prisoners, the Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), Pub. L. No. 104-134, 110 Stat. 1321 (1996), applies to this case. The PLRA requires prisoners to pay the full three hundred and fifty dollar (\$350.00) filing fee for a civil action, as funds are available, although the fee may be paid in installments. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b); *Green v. Young*, 454 F.3d 405, 407 (4th Cir. 2006). The Fourth Circuit has not addressed the issue of payment of fees in a case filed by multiple plaintiffs subject to the PLRA. However, the undersigned is persuaded by the reasoning of the Eleventh Circuit in *Hubbard v. Haley*, 262 F.3d 1194, 1197-98 (11th Cir. 2001), which held that multiple prisoners are not allowed to join together in a single lawsuit. Because the PLRA's requirement, that a prisoner pay the full fee for filing a lawsuit, would be circumvented in a multiple plaintiff case subject to the PLRA, the

Hubbard court found that it was appropriate to sever the claims and require each prisoner to file a separate lawsuit. *Id.* at 1198.¹

Accordingly, the undersigned concludes that the Plaintiffs' claims should be separated for initial review.

TO THE CLERK OF COURT:

The Office of the Clerk of Court is directed to maintain this case as the "Lead Case" brought by the first Plaintiff named above (Wade Stepney, Jr.). The Clerk of Court is further directed to assign separate civil action numbers to each of the sixty-eight (68) remaining Plaintiffs listed in the caption of this Order. The original of this Order shall be maintained in the present file, and copies of this Order and all documents from this case shall be placed in the new case files. After docketing this Order, the Clerk shall terminate the sixty-eight other Plaintiffs as parties in this case, leaving Wade Stepney, Jr. as the sole Plaintiff in this action. The Defendants in the new cases will be the same Defendants in the caption of this Order. The Clerk of Court is authorized to re-file the complaint from this case in the new cases and to process the new cases as prisoner civil rights actions. The initial document entries on the docket in the new cases will be this Order and the complaint in this case. The Clerk of Court is authorized to determine the most efficient way and time for assigning case numbers and entering

A prisoner must also exhaust administrative remedies prior to filing suit for civil rights violations. 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a); *Woodford v. Ngo*, 548 U.S. 81, 85 (2006); *Porter v. Nussle*, 534 U.S. 516, 524 (2002). Just as payment of one filing fee does not cover multiple plaintiffs under the PLRA, exhaustion of administrative remedies by one prisoner does not meet the exhaustion requirement for all of the plaintiffs.

the new case numbers, party information, and pleading information on the Court's docket in the Electronic Case Filing system.

After the new cases are docketed, the assigned Magistrate Judge is authorized to issue orders pursuant to the General Order issued in *In Re: Procedures in Civil Actions Filed by Prisoner Pro Se Litigants*, 3:07-mc-5014-JFA (D.S.C. Sept. 18, 2007), and conduct initial reviews in compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

J. Michelle Childs

J. Michelle Childs United States District Judge

June 11, 2012 Greenville, South Carolina