IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Kendrick L.J. Singleton,)	C/A No.: 1:13-2104-JMC-SVH
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
VS.)	
)	
Florence Mauney, Associate Warden of)	
Security; Michael Matthews, Regional)	
Director of Inmate Classification;)	ORDER
D. Filmore, Perry Correctional Inst.)	
Classification Caseworker; L. Buttrey,)	
Perry Correctional Inst. Classification)	
Caseworker; and F. Ogunsile Perry)	
Correctional Inst. Classification)	
Caseworker,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, brought this action alleging violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment on December 17, 2013. [Entry #28]. As Plaintiff is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975) on December 18, 2013, advising him of the importance of the motion for summary judgment and of the need for him to file an adequate response. [Entry #29]. Plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, Defendants' motion may be granted.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's *Roseboro* order, Plaintiff has failed to respond to the motion. As such, it appears to the

court that he does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon this action. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff is directed to advise the court whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment by March 19, 2014. Plaintiff is further advised that if he fails to respond, this action will be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

March 5, 2014 Columbia, South Carolina

(Shina V. Hodges

Shiva V. Hodges United States Magistrate Judge