Moragne v. Reynolds Doc. 25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Landis Moragne,)	C/A No.: 1:15-260-TMC-SVH
	Petitioner,)	
NO.)	ORDER
VS.)	ORDER
Warden Reynolds,)	
	Respondent.)	
	respondent.		

Petitioner, proceeding pro se, brought this action requesting a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Respondent filed a motion for summary judgment on June 18, 2015. [ECF No. 22]. As Petitioner is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order pursuant to Roseboro v. Garrison, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), on June 19, 2015, advising him of the importance of a motion for summary judgment and of the need for him to file an adequate response by July 23, 2015. [ECF No. 23]. Petitioner was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, Respondent's motion may be granted, thereby ending this case.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's Roseboro order, Petitioner has failed to respond to the motion. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon this action. Based on the foregoing, the undersigned orders Petitioner to advise the court as to whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to Respondent's motion for summary judgment by August 11, 2015. Petitioner is further advised that if he fails to respond, this

action will be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Davis v. Williams, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

July 28, 2015 Columbia, South Carolina Shiva V. Hodges United States Magistrate Judge

Shira V. Hodges