## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

Jonathan Spencer,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
v.	)
Nancy A. Berryhill, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,	) ) )
Defendant.	) )

Civil Action No. 1:16-cv-01735-JMC

**ORDER AND OPINION** 

This matter is before the court for review of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ("Report") (ECF No. 14), filed on January 31, 2017. On May 31, 2016, Plaintiff Jonathan Spencer ("Plaintiff") filed the complaint in this case appealing a final administrative decision by then Acting Commissioner Carolyn Colvin ("the Commissioner") denying Plaintiff"s claim for disability insurance benefits.<sup>1</sup> (ECF No. 1.) The Report recommends that the decision of the Commissioner denying Plaintiff's claim for Disability Insurance Benefits be affirmed. (ECF No. 14 at 32). The Report sets forth the relevant facts and legal standards, which this court incorporates herein without a recitation.

The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nancy A. Berryhill became the Acting Commissioner of Social Security on January 23, 2017. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d), Nancy A. Berryhill is substituted for former Acting Commissioner Carolyn W. Colvin as a Defendant in this lawsuit.

of those portions of the Report to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the magistrate judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The parties were notified of their right to file objections. On March 8, 2017, Plaintiff filed a response indicating that she would not be objecting to the Report. (ECF No. 19.) In the absence of objections to the Report, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Instead, the court must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation. *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After a thorough and careful review of the record, the court finds the Magistrate Judge's Report provides an accurate summary of the facts and law in the instant matter. The court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 14) and **AFFIRMS** the final decision of the Commissioner denying Plaintiff's claim for Disability Insurance Benefits.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

J. Michalle Childs

United States District Court Judge

April 14, 2017 Columbia, South Carolina