## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

Samuel Leroy Smith and Sarah Ann Phillips Smith,	)	
Plaintiffs,	) C.A. No. 1:17-1818-HMH-K	FM
vs.	OPINION & ORDER	
Kenneth S. Nugent, P.C.,	)	
Defendant.	) )	

This matter is before the court with the Report and Recommendation of United States

Magistrate Judge Kevin F. McDonald, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local

Civil Rule 73.02 of the District of South Carolina. Samuel Leroy Smith and Sarah Ann Phillips

Smith ("Plaintiffs"), proceeding pro se, allege claims against the Defendant for breach of contract

and bad faith relating to the Defendant's representation of the Plaintiffs. In his Report and

Recommendation, Magistrate Judge McDonald recommends granting the Defendant's motion for

summary judgment.

Plaintiffs filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. Objections to the Report and Recommendation must be specific. Failure to file specific objections constitutes a waiver of a party's right to further judicial review, including appellate review, if the recommendation is accepted by the district judge. See United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 & n.4 (4th Cir.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility for making a final determination remains with the United States District Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the magistrate judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

1984). In the absence of <u>specific</u> objections to the Report and Recommendation of the magistrate judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. <u>See</u> Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Upon review, the court finds that the Plaintiffs' objections are non-specific, unrelated to the dispositive portions of the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation, or merely restate their claims. Therefore, after a thorough review of the magistrate judge's Report and the record in this case, the court adopts Magistrate Judge McDonald's Report and Recommendation and incorporates it herein by reference.

It is therefore

**ORDERED** that the Defendant's motion for summary judgment, docket number 33, is granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Henry M. Herlong, Jr. Senior United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina March 22, 2018

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Plaintiffs are hereby notified that they have the right to appeal this order within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.