IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

Partheane Jefferies,)	Civil Action No. 1:17-1821-BHH
Plaintiff,)	
v. Nancy A. Berryhill, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration, Defendant.)	<u>ORDER</u>

This matter is before the Court upon Plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412. In the motion, Plaintiff seeks attorney's fees in the amount of \$3,585.31, representing 6.5 attorney hours at the hourly rate of \$192.50 and 24.25 paralegal hours at the hourly rate of \$96.25, plus \$20.01 in expenses. On August 6, 2018, Defendant filed a response to Plaintiff's motion, notifying the Court that Defendant does not object to Plaintiff's request.

Attorney's fees may be awarded pursuant to EAJA where the government's position is not substantially justified. The substantial justification test is one of reasonableness in law and fact. See Pierce v. Underwood, 487 U.S. 552, 565 (1988). The district court has broad discretion to set the attorney fee amount. "[A] district court will always retain substantial discretion in fixing the amount of an EAJA award. Exorbitant, unfounded, or procedurally defective fee applications . . . are matters that the district court can recognize." Hyatt v. North Carolina Dep't of Human Res., 315 F.3d 239, 254 (4th Cir. 2002) (citing Comm'r v. Jean, 496 U.S. 154, 163 (1990)). Moreover, the court should not only consider the "position taken by the United States in the civil

action," but also the "action or failure to act by the agency upon which the civil action is based." 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(2)(D), as amended by P.L. 99-80, § 2(c)(2)(B).

After consideration, it is hereby

ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion (ECF No. 20) is granted, and Plaintiff is awarded attorney's fees in the amount of \$3,585.31 pursuant to EAJA made payable to Plaintiff and delivered to the business address of Plaintiff's counsel. Plaintiff's counsel is also awarded \$20.01 in expenses.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Bruce H. Hendricks
The Honorable Bruce Howe Hendricks
United States District Judge

August 14, 2018 Charleston, South Carolina

¹ As the Supreme Court made clear in *Astrue v. Ratliff*, attorney's fees under EAJA are made payable to the prevailing litigant and not to the litigant's attorney. 560 U.S. 586, 598 (2010) (holding that the plain text of EAJA requires that attorney's fees be awarded to the litigant, thus subjecting EAJA fees to an offset of any pre-existing federal debts).