


Judge has ably addressed the factual and legal issues in this matter. Therefore, the Court **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation as the order of this Court, **REVERSES** the decision of the Commissioner pursuant to Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and **REMANDS** the matter to the Commissioner for further proceedings consistent with this order. The Court further orders and directs that the agency on remand address the apparent discrepancy between the testimony of the Vocational Expert, who identified only jobs requiring Level Two reasoning, and the Administrative Law Judge’s limitation of Plaintiff to “simple, routine tasks . . involving simple work-related decisions . . .” Tr. 18, 65-66. As the Magistrate Judge stated at Footnote 13 and this Court ruled in *Abstance v. Berryhill*, 2019 WL 669799 (D.S.C. 2019), the failure of the Vocational Expert to resolve this apparent discrepancy would result in the Commissioner not meeting her burden under Step Five of the Sequential Process, mandating an award of benefits to the Plaintiff.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.



Richard Mark Gergel
United States District Judge

Charleston, South Carolina
July 3, 2019