

# **Exhibit E**

**Janene Smith**


---

**From:** Janene Smith  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 17, 2010 4:19 PM  
**To:** Faith Wallace  
**Cc:** lizzlaw@aol.com; John McElwaine; Janene Smith; Meredith Ridley  
**Subject:** RE: Cleveland Golf v. Prince et al - responses to 1st set of discovery

Faith, thank you for help with this. If you could fax (843.534.4218) or scan and email the responses to us before you mail them, we will not have to file a motion to compel so long as we receive something before the end of the day tomorrow.

If you could also ask Mr. Lizzi if he could review the proposed consent motions we hand delivered to your office on Tuesday and let us know if he consents to their filing, that would be greatly appreciated. .

---

**From:** Faith Wallace [mailto:fwallace.lizzilaw@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 17, 2010 4:11 PM  
**To:** Janene Smith  
**Cc:** lizzlaw@aol.com  
**Subject:** Re: Cleveland Golf v. Prince et al - rzsponses to 1st set of discovery

Dear Janene:

Mr. Prince informed me that he would provide my office with the requested information by Monday, March 15, 2010 so that I could provide the same to you along with the discovery responses. I did not receive the documents from my client until late yesterday afternoon and am in the process of typing his responses. Mr. Lizzi is in court this afternoon and will not return to the office until tomorrow morning. I will have him review the discovery responses and sign the same. I will send everything to you in the mail tomorrow. I apologize for the delay in getting the responses to you.

*Faith S. Wallace*  
*Paralegal to Christopher D. Lizzi*  
 Lizzi Law Firm, PC  
 2170 Ashley Phosphate Road  
 Suite 402  
 North Charleston, SC 29406  
 Phone: (843) 797-0222  
 Fax: (843) 797-0202

---

**From:** Janene Smith <Janene.Smith@nelsonmullins.com>  
**To:** lizzlaw@aol.com  
**Cc:** Faith Wallace <fwallace.lizzilaw@yahoo.com>; John McElwaine <john.mcelwaine@nelsonmullins.com>; Janene Smith <Janene.Smith@nelsonmullins.com>; Meredith Ridley <Meredith.Ridley@nelsonmullins.com>  
**Sent:** Wed, March 17, 2010 3:44:43 PM  
**Subject:** Cleveland Golf v. Prince et al - responses to 1st set of discovery

Chris, hope you are well. In your letter dated March 12, 2010, you indicated you would be able to provide us discovery responses by Monday, March 15. We have not received anything as of this afternoon, and unfortunately under Local Rule 37.01(A) we cannot extend the deadline beyond tomorrow, as we are within 30 days of the deadline for the completion of discovery under the current scheduling order. That means that we will have to file a motion to compel tomorrow if we do not receive responses by that time - do you know whether it will be possible to send over your responses by then?

Thanks,  
 Janene

3/18/2010

JANENE BOYCE SMITH  
ASSOCIATE  
NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH L.L.P.  
151 MEETING STREET  
THE LIBERTY CENTER, SUITE 600  
CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29401  
TEL: 843.534.4123  
FAX: 843.534.4218  
EMAIL: JANENE.SMITH@NELSONMULLINS.COM

=====  
Confidentiality Notice

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure.

If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately either by phone (800-237-2000) or reply to this e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

To ensure compliance with the requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including the attachments) is not intended or written to be used, for the purpose of (a) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (b) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or tax-related matter[s]. To provide you with a communication that could be used to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code will necessarily entail additional investigations, analysis and conclusions on our part.

=====