

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON DIVISION

Jimmy Campbell, Jr.,)	C.A. No. 2:11-914-TLW-BHH
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
vs.)	ORDER
)	
Robert Fitzimmons, Attorney;)	
Jarrett Douglas, Office;)	
Charles Gonzales, Office;)	
Carol A. McCurry, Solicitor;)	
Barbara McIlwain, Victim;)	
)	
Respondents.)	
)	

This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Bruce Howe Hendricks, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In her Report, Magistrate Judge Hendricks recommends that the instant Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process upon Respondents. The Report was filed on April 25, 2011. No objections to the Report have been filed.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. No objections have been filed to the Report. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of

the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 8), and the instant Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without issuance and service of process upon Respondents.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten
TERRY L. WOOTEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

May 31, 2011

Florence, South Carolina