



The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 96 S.Ct. 549, 42 L.Ed.2d 483 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to him with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must “only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

The court has carefully reviewed the record, the applicable case law, and the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge for clear error. Finding none, the court adopts and incorporates the Report by reference. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, the Commissioner’s decision is AFFIRMED.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Mary G. Lewis  
United States District Judge

Spartanburg, South Carolina  
October 18, 2013