Battle v. Nilkanth LLC et al Doc. 21

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

Ramona Battle,) C.A. #2:13-543-PMD
Plaintiff,)
vs.	ORDER
Nikanth, LLC, d/b/a Quality Inn and Baiju Gajjar, Individually,)))
Defendants.)))

This matter is before the court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that defendants' motion to dismiss be granted. The record includes the report and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge made in accordance with this Court's Order of Reference and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B).

The Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). No objections have been filed to the magistrate judge's report.

A review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the magistrate judge, it is **ordered** that defendants' motion to dismiss is **GRANTED**.

ORDERED, that the magistrate judge's report and recommendation is adopted as the order of this Court.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

PATRICK MICHAEL DU

United States District Judge

September 11, 2013 Charleston, South Carolina