


**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**A TRUE COPY
ATTEST: ROBIN L. BLUME, CLERKBY: 
DEPUTY CLERK**IN RE: LIPITOR (ATORVASTATIN CALCIUM)
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. II)**

MDL No. 2502

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -3)**

On February 18, 2014, the Panel transferred 42 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* F.Supp.2d (J.P.M.L. 2014). Since that time, 161 additional action(s) have been transferred to the District of South Carolina. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Richard M Gergel.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of South Carolina and assigned to Judge Gergel.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the District of South Carolina for the reasons stated in the order of February 18, 2014, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Richard M Gergel.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: LIPITOR (ATORVASTATIN CALCIUM)
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. II)**

MDL No. 2502

SCHEDULE CTO-3 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ALABAMA MIDDLE			
ALM	2	13-00772	Greenwood et al v. Pfizer Inc.
ALM	2	13-00773	Bates v. Pfizer Inc.
ALM	2	13-00774	Jones v. Pfizer Inc.
ALM	2	13-00775	McCall v. Pfizer Inc.
ALM	3	13-00807	Smith et al v. Pfizer Inc.
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL			
CAC	2	14-01225	Charmaine Lloyd v. Pfizer Inc et al
CAC	2	14-01227	Catherine York v. Pfizer Inc et al
CAC	8	14-00235	Deanna Davis v. Pfizer Inc et al
CALIFORNIA EASTERN			
CAE	2	14-00489	Anderson v. Pfizer, Inc.
CAE	2	14-00490	Calvin v. Pfizer, Inc.
ILLINOIS CENTRAL			
ILC	3	13-03356	Robinson v. Pfizer Inc.
ILLINOIS NORTHERN			
ILN	1	13-08008	Thomas v. Pfizer Inc.
INDIANA SOUTHERN			
INS	3	14-00023	GRUBB v. PFIZER INC.
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	14-00399	Brown v. Pfizer Inc
MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN			

MSN 1 14-00021 Banas v. Pfizer Inc.

NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN

NCE 5 13-00869 Greeson v. Pfizer Inc.

OHIO NORTHERN

OHN 1 13-02362 Dangerfield et al v. Pfizer, Inc.
OHN 3 13-02363 Hicks v. Pfizer, Inc.
OHN 3 13-02365 Zumfelde et al v. Pfizer, Inc.
OHN 5 13-02364 Yoder v. Pfizer, Inc.

OREGON

OR 6 14-00274 Hines v. Pfizer, Inc.

PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

PAE 2 13-06350 HUNT-HARKIN et al v. PFIZER, INC.
PAE 2 13-06351 HALFHILL v. PFIZER INC.
PAE 2 14-00270 KIMBROUGH v. PFIZER, INC.
PAE 2 14-00271 RAGO v. PFIZER INC.
PAE 2 14-00272 PITTS v. PFIZER INC.
PAE 5 13-06352 PHILIP et al v. PFIZER INC.

PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE

PAM 3 13-02684 Kirkland et al v. Pfizer Inc.
PAM 4 14-00281 Woltcheck v. Pfizer Inc.

PENNSYLVANIA WESTERN

PAW 2 13-01580 TENNANT et al v. PFIZER, INC
PAW 3 13-00234 CORRALES v. PFIZER INC.

TENNESSEE EASTERN

TNE 1 13-00365 Amalia et al v. Pfizer, Inc.

TENNESSEE WESTERN

TNW 2 13-02832 Gonzalez et al v. Pfizer, Inc.

WEST VIRGINIA NORTHERN

WVN 5 14-00018 Crow v. Pfizer Inc.