

portions to which only “general and conclusory” objections have been made—for clear error. *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005); *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 200 (4th Cir. 1983); *Orpiano v. Johnson*, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982). The court may accept, reject, or modify—in whole or in part—the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Petitioner was advised of his right to file objections to the Report “within fourteen (14) days of the date of service” of the Report. (ECF No. 12.) However, Petitioner filed no objections. In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge’s Report, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby*, 718 F.2d at 199. Furthermore, failure to timely file specific written objections to the Report results in a party’s waiver of the right to appeal from the judgment of the District Court based upon such recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).

Therefore, after a thorough and careful review of the Report and the record in this case, this court finds the Report provides an accurate summary of the facts and law and **ACCEPTS** the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 12). For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, this court **DISMISSES** the Petition (ECF No. 1) *without* prejudice and without requiring Respondent to file a return. Furthermore, this court **GRANTS** Respondent’s Motion to Dismiss (ECF Nos. 7, 10).

IT IS SO ORDERED.



United States District Judge

March 2, 2017
Columbia, South Carolina