



instructions. *Id.* The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objections are made.

In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report, this Court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.'" *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

Here, because no objections have been filed, the Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations for clear error. Finding none, the Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge that Plaintiff's claims against Defendant are subject to summary dismissal for the reasons stated in the Report. Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation is adopted and incorporated herein by reference and this action is summarily DISMISSED.

Plaintiff is hereby advised that **the continued filing of frivolous, malicious, abusive, or vexatious actions may result in the imposition of a pre-filing injunction and other sanctions as provided by law.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

/s/Bruce Howe Hendricks  
United States District Judge

December 3, 2021  
Charleston, South Carolina

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.