Young v. Dow et al Doc. 40

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

Michael J. Young, Jr., #35851,)	
)	C.A. No.: 3:08-1702-RBH
Plaintiff,)	
)	
VS.)	ORDER
)	
Nole S. Dow, Investigator; and City of)	
Columbia Police Department,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
)	

This matter is before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Joseph R. McCrorey, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

No objections were filed to the Report and Recommendation. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this court is not required to give any

explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir.

1983).

After a thorough review of the Report and Recommendation and the record in this case,

the court adopts Magistrate Judge McCrorey's Report and Recommendation and incorporates it

herein. It is therefore

ORDERED that the defendants' motion to dismiss and/or summary judgment [docket

#26] is hereby **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part. Plaintiff's § 1983 claims against CCPD

are **DISMISSED**. Plaintiff's claim for alienation of affection are **DISMISSED**. Defendants are

granted summary judgment as to plaintiff's property claims. Defendants' motion to dismiss this

action as a sanction for plaintiff's failure to cooperate with discovery is **DENIED**, and this

action is STAYED as to plaintiff's remaining § 1983 claims (false arrest and coerced

interrogation) during the pendency of plaintiff's criminal (stalking, murder and attempted murder)

proceedings until conviction or dismissal by the State trial court. The parties are directed to notify

the Court upon disposition of the State criminal matter. Defendants' motions to compel (docket

#'s 20 and 22) are **DENIED** with the right of defendants to refile these motions, if necessary, after

the stay is lifted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ R. Bryan Harwell

R. BRYAN HARWELL

United States District Judge

Florence, South Carolina

March 6, 2009

2