

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
FLORENCE DIVISION

ORDER

Plaintiff has brought this action to obtain judicial review of a final decision of the defendant, Commissioner of Social Security, denying her claims for disability benefits. This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Judge Joseph R. McCrorey, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(a), DSC.

In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the Commissioner's decision be reversed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 405(g), sentence four, and 1383(c)(3), and that the case be remanded to the Commissioner. (Doc. #21). The defendant filed a reply and notice of intent not to file objections to the Report. (Doc. #22).

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v.

Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. It is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is **ACCEPTED**. (Doc. #21). For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, the Commissioner's decision is hereby **REVERSED** pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 405(g), sentence four, and 1383(c)(3), and this case is **REMANDED** to the Commissioner.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Terry L. Wooten
United States District Judge

September 15, 2010
Florence, South Carolina