IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

| Glen K. LaConey, |) | C/A NO. 3:11-2170-CMC-JRM |
|--|----------|---------------------------|
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | OPINION and ORDER |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| The Honorable Joseph M. Strickland, in |) | |
| his official capacity as Master-in-Equity |) | |
| for the Fifth Circuit Court of Common |) | |
| Pleas; the Honorable James R. Barber, III, |) | |
| in his official capacity as Circuit Court |) | |
| Judge for the Fifth Circuit Court of |) | |
| Common Pleas; the Honorable Alison |) | |
| Renee Lee, in her official capacity as |) | |
| Chief Administrative Judge for the Fifth |) | |
| Circuit Court of Common Pleas; and |) | |
| Xavier Troy Smith, individually, |) | |
| • |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |
| | <u> </u> | |

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint, filed in this case pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(e), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Joseph R. McCrorey for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On September 21, 2011, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the complaint be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff has filed no objections and the time for doing so has expired.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has

no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court.

See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo

determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is

made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by

the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28

U.S.C. § 636(b). The court reviews the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection.

See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that

"in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but

instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept

the recommendation.") (citation omitted).

After reviewing the record of this matter, the applicable law, and the Report and

Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the court agrees with the conclusions of the Magistrate

Judge. Accordingly, the court adopts and incorporates the Report and Recommendation by

reference in this Order.

This action is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina

October 18, 2011

2