IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

Donald Wayne Gay, #244300,)	C/A NO. 3:12-2260-CMC-JRM
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	OPINION and ORDER
v.)	
)	
City of Columbia Police Dept.;)	
Robert J. Benson; Walter E. Bales;)	
Joe Phillip Smith; Steve Rice;)	
Thomas N. Thomas, "et al.")	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint, filed in this court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(e), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Joseph R. McCrorey pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On August 28, 2012, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the complaint be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff filed objections to the Report on September 6, 2012.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is

made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by

the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28

U.S.C. § 636(b).

After conducting a *de novo* review as to Plaintiff's objections to the Report, and considering

the record, the applicable law, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and

Plaintiff's objections, the court agrees with the conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly,

the court adopts and incorporates the Report and Recommendation by reference in this Order.

Plaintiff's objections are conclusory recitations of certain case and statutory law, and do not

address the finding of the Report that Plaintiff's action is barred under *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S.

477 (1994).

This matter is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process on

Defendants.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie

CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina September 10, 2012

2