

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
 COLUMBIA DIVISION

Leo McClam,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No. 3:15-cv-00362-TLW
)	
Mr. NFN Livingston, Officer; Judy Dupree,)	
Social Worker; Janice Thomas, RN;)	
Dr. NFN Cross; Kia Wilson, Horry County)	
Attorney; and Kenny Boone, Sheriff,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

ORDER

Plaintiff Leo McClam, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging false arrest, false detainment, and medical neglect. (Doc. #1). Plaintiff sues Officer Livingston, social worker Judy Dupree, nurse Janice Thomas, Dr. Cross, Horry County attorney Kia Wilson, and Sheriff Kenny Boone. Id. This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges, to whom this case was assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(e), (D.S.C.). In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that this Court dismiss the case without prejudice and without issuance and service of process as to Defendants Livingston, Dupree, Thomas, Wilson, and Boone. (Doc. #24). Plaintiff failed to file objections to the Report.

The Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained therein. 28 U.S.C. § 636. However, in the absence of objections

to the Report, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 200 (4th Cir. 1983). In such a case, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.'" Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Report in accordance with this standard, and it concludes that the Magistrate Judge accurately summarizes the case and the applicable law. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is **ACCEPTED**. (Doc. #24). For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without issuance and service of process as to Defendants Livingston, Dupree, Thomas, Wilson, and Boone.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten
Terry L. Wooten
Chief United States District Judge

April 6, 2015
Columbia, South Carolina