

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

ANDREA FRIPP JAMES,	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:16-1008-MGL
	§
RICHLAND COUNTY RECREATION	§
COMMISSION, JAMES BROWN, III,	§
MARIE GREEN, BARBARA MICKENS,	§
and DAVID STRINGER, in their individual	§
capacities,	§
Defendants.	§

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

This case was filed as a job discrimination action. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting Defendants' motion to dismiss be denied. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on November 1, 2016, but the parties failed to file any

objections to the Report. "[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not

conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face

of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.,

416 F.3d310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Moreover,

a failure to object waives appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment

of the Court Defendants' motion to dismiss is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 22nd day of November, 2016, in Columbia, South Carolina.

s/ Mary Geiger Lewis

MARY GEIGER LEWIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2