Brown v. Hebert et al Doc. 63

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Tookie Brown, # 120395,	
Plaintiff,	) C.A. No. 4:12-cv-02595-TLW
-VS-	)
Noel Hebert, Accounting Manager of SCDC; FNU Drego, Doctor of Kershaw CI; FNU Tomarchio, Acting Medical Director of SCDC; Mrs. FNU Mungo, Nurse of Kershaw CI; FNU Phillip, Nurse of Kershaw CI, and FNU Herring, Nurse of Kershaw CI, et al., in their individual	) ) ) ) )
And/or personal capacities,	)
Defendant.	) ) _)

## **ORDER**

The plaintiff, Tookie Brown ("Plaintiff"), filed this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on September 10, 2012, alleging violations of his constitutional rights. (Doc. #1). This matter is now before the Court for consideration of the Plaintiff's recently filed Motion Requesting Dismissal Without Prejudice ("Motion to Dismiss"), (Doc. #56), filed on December 9, 2013. Also before the Court are (1) the Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, (Doc. #33), filed on April 24, 2013, (2) the Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, (Doc. #46), filed on November 15, 2013, and (3) the Report and Recommendation ("Report"), (Doc. #53), filed on December 6, 2013, by Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, to whom this case was previously assigned. In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends denying Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, (Doc. #46), and granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, (Doc. #33), for

failure to exhaust administrative remedies. The Plaintiff filed objections to the Report on

December 27, 2013. (Doc. #59).

Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure governs dismissal of actions in federal

court. Subsection (a)(2) permits voluntary dismissal of an action by the Plaintiff by court order if

an opposing party has already served an answer or motion for summary judgment. Unless the

court orders otherwise, a dismissal in this manner is without prejudice. (Id.).

Because the Defendants have already served a motion for summary judgment in this case,

Rule 41(a)(2) governs the Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss. In his Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff states

that he agrees with the Defendants that he prematurely filed the case and that the Court should

dismiss his case without prejudice. (Doc. #56). Plaintiff's objections to the Report cite his

Motion to Dismiss and again request that the Court dismiss the case without prejudice. (Doc.

#59). Thus, although the Plaintiff filed what he captioned as "objections," the substance of the

filing aligns with grounds for dismissal recited by both the Defendants and the Magistrate Judge.

As a result, the Court finds that dismissal is appropriate in this case.

The Court has carefully reviewed the record and in light of the Plaintiff's position, the

Court GRANTS the Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss. (Doc. #56). The case is DISMISSED without

prejudice. In light of the above ruling, the Report, (Doc. # 53), the Defendants' Motion for

Summary Judgment, (Doc. #33), and the Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, (Doc.

#46), are terminated as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Terry L. Wooten

Chief United States District Judge

February 26, 2014 Florence, South Carolina

-2-