

by counsel in court”and that Telstar must retain counsel licensed to practice in this District no later than May 14, 2014 or the Magistrate Judge would recommend that default be entered against Defendant Telstar. (ECF No. 36.)

On May 21, 2014, the Magistrate Judge submitted a Report and Recommendation to the court recommending that default be entered due to Telstar’s failure to comply with this court’s order to obtain counsel. (ECF No. 43.) The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and Recommendation and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. (ECF No.43-1.) Plaintiff filed no objections and the time for doing so expired on June 9, 2014.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). The Court reviews the Report and Recommendation only for clear error in the absence of an objection. *See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that “in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”) (citation omitted).

In light of the above standards and after careful review of the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the court finds no clear error. Accordingly, the Court adopts and incorporates the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 43) by reference into this order. It is

therefore ORDERED that Default be entered against Telstar.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Mary G. Lewis
United States District Judge

Florence, South Carolina
June 16, 2014