Salters v. Tucker et al Doc. 47

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Richard D. Salters, II,) Civil Action No.: 4:13-01612-MGL
	Plaintiff,)
vs.		ORDER
Todd Tucker;)
Karen Parott, and)
Lt. Joseph Cooper,		
	Defendants.)
)

On June 13, 2013, Plaintiff Richard D. Salters, II, ("Plaintiff"), proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed this action alleging constitutional violations construed as pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1). In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and District of South Carolina Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(e), this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, for review pursuant to the procedural provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) and § 1915A. On November 17, 2014, the Magistrate Judge prepared a Report and Recommendation, ("the Report"), recommending that Defendants Todd Tucker and Karen Parott be dismissed from this case. (ECF No. 34). Objections to the Report were due by December 4, 2014. Plaintiff did not file any Objections to the Report. The matter is now ripe for review by this Court.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to the Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific

objection is made. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the

recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge

with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). In the absence of a timely filed Objection, a district

court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must "only satisfy itself that there is no

clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v.

Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

Applying the above standards to the instant matter, the Court has carefully reviewed the

record, applicable law, and the Magistrate Judge's Report, (ECF No. 34), and finding no clear

error in the Report, the Court adopts and incorporates it herein by reference. Accordingly,

Plaintiff's Complaint is hereby summarily **DISMISSED** as to Defendants Todd Tucker and

Karen Parott.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Mary G. Lewis
United States District Judge

May 13, 2015 Columbia, South Carolina