

ATTACHMENT “A” – COURT’S RULING ON DEFENDANTS’ OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF’S PRETRIAL DISCLOSURES

A. Witnesses

Witness	Objection	Court’s Ruling
Carrie Rose	Ms. Rose did not have any substantive involvement in the alleged trespass or any investigation into the trespass. Ms. Rose’s involvement was limited to reviewing Officer Swarthout’s report and submitting it electronically for approval. Accordingly, Defendants object as this witness does not have relevant information that would assist the fact finder.	Overruled/Denied
James W. Surane, Jr., Esq.	Mr. Surane was the closing agent on the loan to the Estes. Defendants object to this witness being called to trial as his testimony is not relevant to the issues in dispute in this case, would be unduly prejudicial and would cause confusion as to the core issues involved in this litigation.	Sustained/Granted
Richard E. Lester, Esq.	Mr. Lester was not previously identified by Plaintiff in his answers to the Court’s Local Rule 26.03 Interrogatories nor in his Answers to Defendant’s First Set of Interrogatories. Therefore, Defendants object to his testimony at trial.	Sustained/Granted
Walter James Estes	Mr. Estes was not previously identified by Plaintiff in his answers to the Court’s Local Rule 26.03 Interrogatories nor in his Answers to Defendant’s First Set of Interrogatories. Therefore, Defendants object to his testimony at trial.	Sustained/Granted
Dorthea Estes	Ms. Estes was not previously identified by Plaintiff in his answers to the Court’s Local Rule 26.03 Interrogatories nor in his Answers to Defendant’s First Set of	Sustained/Granted

Witness	Objection	Court's Ruling
	Interrogatories. Therefore, Defendants object to her testimony at trial.	

B. Deposition Designations

1. Deponent Charles Monnie McLawhorn

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
Page 1, Lines 1-25	None			
Page 2, Lines 8-16	None			
Page 5, Lines 1-25	Defendants object as irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of issues and misleading. There is no reason to publish this to the jury, especially considering not every exhibit listed is admissible or being shown to the jury.			Sustained/Granted
Page 6 Lines 1 - 25	Defendants object as irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of issues and misleading. There is no reason to publish this to the jury, especially considering not every exhibit listed is admissible or being shown to the jury.			Sustained/Granted
Page 7 Lines 8 – 25	Defendants object as irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of issues and misleading.			Sustained/Granted

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	There is no reason to publish this to the jury, especially considering not every exhibit listed is admissible or being shown to the jury.			
Page 8 Lines 1 – 25	None			
Page 9 Lines 1 – 4	None			
Page 9 Lines 19 – 25	No objection as to 19-21; remainder and leading to following designation, irrelevant, attorney testifying			Sustained/Granted
Page 10 Lines 1 - 17	See prior objection			Sustained/Granted
Page 10 Lines 23- 25	None			
Page 11 Lines 1 – 25	Unduly prejudicial, irrelevant			Sustained/Granted as to lines 1-3; Overruled/Denied as to lines 4-25
Page 12 Lines 1	Unduly prejudicial, irrelevant			Overruled/Denied
Page 12 Lines 8 - 13	Irrelevant			Sustained/Granted
Page 13 Lines 13 - 25	None			
Page 14 Lines 1 - 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial; lay witness testifying as expert as to chain of title in which he is not an owner			Sustained/Granted as to lines 1-8; Overruled/Denied as to lines 9-25
Page 15 Lines 1 - 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial; lay witness testifying as expert as to chain of title in which he is not an owner; improper			Overruled/Denied as to lines 1-10; Sustained/Granted as to lines 11-25

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	foundation for document; see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures with respect to objections regarding deeds			
Page 16 Lines 1 - 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial; lay witness testifying as expert as to chain of title in which he is not an owner; improper foundation for document; see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures with respect to objections regarding deeds			Sustained/Granted
Page 17 Lines 1 – 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial; lay witness testifying as expert as to chain of title in which he is not an owner; improper foundation for document; see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures with respect to objections regarding deeds			Sustained/Granted
Page 18 Lines 1 – 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial; lay witness testifying as expert as to chain of title in which he is not an owner; improper			Sustained/Granted

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	foundation for document; see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures with respect to objections regarding deeds			
Page 19 Lines 1 - 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial; lay witness testifying as expert as to chain of title in which he is not an owner; improper foundation for document; see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures with respect to objections regarding deeds			Sustained/Granted
Page 20 Lines 1 - 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial; lay witness testifying as expert as to chain of title in which he is not an owner; improper foundation for document; see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures with respect to objections regarding deeds			Sustained/Granted
Page 21 Lines 1 - 8	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial; lay witness testifying as expert as to chain of title in which he is not an owner; see			Sustained/Granted

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures with respect to objections regarding deeds			
Page 21 Lines 12 - 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial; lay witness testifying as expert as to chain of title in which he is not an owner; see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures with respect to objections regarding deeds			Sustained/Granted
Page 22 Lines 1 - 18	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial; lay witness testifying as expert as to chain of title in which he is not an owner; improper foundation for document; see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures with respect to objections regarding deeds			Sustained/Granted
Page 22 Lines 22 - 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial; lay witness testifying as expert as to chain of title in which he is not an owner; improper foundation for document; see Defendants'			Sustained/Granted

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures with respect to objections regarding deeds			
Page 23 Lines 1- 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial; lay witness testifying as expert as to chain of title in which he is not an owner; improper foundation for documents; see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures with respect to objections regarding deeds			Sustained/Granted as to lines 1-12 and 21 – 25; Overruled/Denied as to lines 13-20
Page 24 Lines 1 - 8	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial; lay witness testifying as expert as to chain of title in which he is not an owner; improper foundation for document; see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures with respect to objections regarding deeds	Page 105 Lines 9 – 11		Overruled/Denied
Page 24 Lines 20 - 25	Compound/confusing question, question without answer			Sustained/Granted
Page 25 Lines 17- 25	See Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures with respect to objections			Sustained/Granted

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	regarding tax bill, irrelevant			
Page 26 Lines 1 - 4	See prior objection			Sustained/Granted
Page 26 Lines 10 - 25	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 27 Lines 1 - 25	See Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures with respect to objections regarding tax bill, irrelevant, third party testifying regarding about his own damages to property he does not own, third party lay witness testifying about value of property, hearsay			Overruled/Denied as to lines 1 - 19; Sustained/Granted as to lines 20 - 25
Page 28 Lines 5 – 15	See prior objection			Sustained/Granted
Page 28 Lines 17 – 25	Irrelevant, incomplete answer, speculative			Sustained/Granted as to lines 17 – 19; Overruled/Denied as to lines 20 - 25
Page 29 Lines 4 – 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial			Overruled/Denied
Page 30 Lines 1 - 25	Confusing and prejudicial as witness is testifying as if property belongs to him			Overruled/Denied
Page 31 Lines 1 – 14	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of the issues			Overruled/Denied as to lines 1 -11; Sustained/Granted as to lines 12-14
Page 32 Lines 19 – 25	Compound question, irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of			Sustained/Granted

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	the issues			
Page 33 Lines 1 – 14	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, hearsay, confusion of the issues,			Sustained/Granted
Page 33 Lines 25	See following objection			Sustained/Granted
Page 34 Lines 1 – 16	Compound question, improper opinion, irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of the issues			Sustained/Granted
Page 34 Lines 19 - 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of the issues			Sustained/Granted
Page 35 Lines 1 – 4	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of the issues			Sustained/Granted
Page 35 Lines 25	See following objection			Sustained/Granted
Page 36 Lines 1 – 4	Leading, asked and answered, irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of the issues, see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures regarding introduction of photographs			Sustained/Granted
Page 39 Lines 22 - 25	See following objection			Sustained/Granted
Page 40 Lines 1 - 5	Compound question, irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of the issues			Sustained/Granted
Page 40 Lines 23 – 25	See following objection			Sustained/Granted
Page 41 Lines 1 – 2	Irrelevant, unduly			Sustained/Granted

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	prejudicial, confusion of the issues			
Page 41 Lines 5 – 10	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of the issues; improper damages testimony from third party			Sustained/Granted
Page 41 Lines 15- 25	See above objection for lines 15- 21; with respect to 22-25, confusion of issues as it is not this party's beach house			Sustained/Granted as to lines 15 -21; Overruled/Denied as to lines 22-25
Page 42 Lines 1 – 25	Unduly prejudicial, hearsay			Overruled/Denied
Page 43 Lines 1- 25	Testimony not based on personal knowledge and based on hearsay; use of word "culprit" as unduly prejudicial			Overruled/Denied
Page 44 Lines 1 - 25	With respect to "full glass grill that was broken out the first time," irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of the issues, see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures regarding introduction of photographs			Overruled/Denied except as to "that was broken out the first time"
Page 45 Lines 1 - 13	Witness testifying based on hearsay rather than personal knowledge; hearsay			Overruled/Denied

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
Page 45 Lines 16 - 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of the issues			Overruled/Denied
Page 46 Lines 1 - 24	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of the issues, see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures regarding introduction of sign in sheet and notices			Overruled/Denied
Page 47 Lines 5 - 25	No question to first line designated; see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures regarding introduction of sign in sheet and notices			Overruled/Denied
Page 48 Lines 1 - 2	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 48 Lines 13 - 21	Unduly prejudicial and confusion as this witness did not own the property			Overruled/Denied
Page 49 Lines 25	See following objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 50 Lines 1 - 25	See Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures regarding introduction of sign in sheet and notices			Overruled/Denied
Page 51 Lines 1- 9	Asked and answered			Overruled/Denied
Page 51 Lines 16 - 25	Hearsay			Overruled/Denied
Page 52 Lines 1 - 25	Witness not testifying based on his own first-hand knowledge, unduly			Overruled/Denied

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	prejudicial and misleading to refer to property as belonging to this third party, see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures regarding introduction of sign in sheet and notices			
Page 53 Lines 1 - 15	See objection relating to police report in Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures			Overruled/Denied
Page 56 Lines 1 – 25	See objections relating to police report and emails in Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures;			Overruled/Denied
Page 57 Lines 1 - 25	See above relating to objection to email, hearsay			Overruled/Denied
Page 58 Lines 1 – 2	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 58 Lines 16-25	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 59 Lines 1 - 2	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 60 Lines 9 - 25	See objection relating to police report in Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures, improper foundation for exhibits			Overruled/Denied
Page 61 Lines 1 - 3	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 61 Lines 8 - 20	See objection relating to emails in Defendants'			Overruled/Denied

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures			
Page 61 Lines 23 - 25	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 62 Lines 1 - 14	See prior objection relating to introduction of email; witness cannot read hearsay document, irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of the issues			Overruled/Denied
Page 64 Lines 3 - 25	See prior objection relating to email, hearsay			Overruled/Denied
Page 65 Lines 1 - 25	Hearsay			Overruled/Denied
Page 66 Lines 1 - 8	See objection relating to emails in Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures			Overruled/Denied
Page 66 Lines 10 - 14	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 66 Lines 20 - 22	None			Overruled/Denied
Page 68 Lines 1 - 25	See prior objections as to both emails			Overruled/Denied
Page 69 Lines 1 - 12	See objection relating to North Myrtle Beach records in Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures			Overruled/Denied
Page 70 Lines 8 - 25	See prior objection, irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, hearsay			Sustained/Granted
Page 71 Lines 1 - 9	See prior objection			Sustained/Granted
Page 72 Lines 5 - 25	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 73 Lines 1	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 73 Lines 10 - 25	See objection relating to			Overruled/Denied

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	North Myrtle Beach notes in Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures; see also p. 11, 17-24			
Page 74 Lines 1 – 11	Asked and answered			Overruled/Denied
Page 74 Lines 13 - 15	None			Overruled/Denied
Page 75 Lines 21 - 25	Hearsay			Overruled/Denied
Page 76 Lines 1 - 25	See prior objection as to document, see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures as to correspondence, witness cannot read hearsay			Overruled/Denied
Page 77 Lines 1 - 25	See prior objection, see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures as to email			Overruled/Denied
Page 78 Lines 1 - 25	See prior objection, witness cannot read hearsay			Overruled/Denied
Page 79 Lines 1 – 25	See Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures as to document, witness cannot read hearsay			Overruled/Denied
Page 80 Lines 1 – 9	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 81 Lines 7 – 25	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 82 Lines 1 - 25	See prior objection, see Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures as to police			Overruled/Denied

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	records			
Page 83 Lines 1 - 4	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 84 Lines 3- 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of the issues, hearsay, third party testifying inappropriately regarding damages, improper references to the property as belonging to this witness and does not belong to this witness, speculative, third party legal conclusion regarding crime,			Overruled/Denied as to lines 2-12; Sustained/Granted as to lines 13-25
Page 85 Lines 1 - 25	See prior objection			Sustained/Granted as to lines 1-6, 14-20, 21-25; Overruled/Denied as to lines 7-13
Page 86 Lines 1 - 25	See prior objection			Sustained/Granted
Page 87 Lines 1 – 25	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied as to lines 1-21; Sustained/Granted as to lines 22-25
Page 88 Lines 1 -25	See prior objection			Sustained/Granted
Page 89 Lines 1 – 5	See prior objection			Sustained/Granted
Page 89 Lines 13 - 25	See prior objection relating to exhibit, unduly prejudicial, mischaracterization of exhibit			Overruled/Denied
Page 90 Lines 1 – 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of			Sustained/Granted

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	issues, mischaracterization of other legal suit			
Page 91 Lines 12 – 25	Improper damages testimony, confusing as referring to property as belonging to him when belongs to Plaintiff			Sustained/Granted
Page 92 Lines 1 - 11	See prior objection			Sustained/Granted as to lines 1-4; Overruled/Denied as to lines 5-11
Page 93 Lines 19 – 25	None	Page 93 Lines 4 - 9		
Page 94 Lines 1 – 12	None			
Page 94 Lines 24 – 25	Witness not testifying on his own personal knowledge and is instead based on hearsay			Overruled/Denied
Page 95 Lines 1 – 17	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 95 Lines 23 – 25	None			
Page 96 Lines 1 – 6	None			
Page 97 Lines 12 - 25	Witness not testifying on his own personal knowledge, confusing and prejudicial for witness to refer to property as his, improper third party lay testimony regarding impact on value of home/damages	Page 96 Lines 7 - 16 Page 97 Lines 7-11		Overruled/Denied
Page 98 Lines 1 – 25	See prior objection; irrelevant, unduly prejudicial and confusing			Overruled/Denied

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	to refer to prior incident and "you all"			
Page 102 Lines 3 – 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusing the issues, improper damage testimony			Sustained/Granted
Page 103 Lines 1 – 25	See prior objection, improper and confusing for this witness to refer to the property as his own, speculation,			Sustained/Granted
Page 104 Lines 1 – 5	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusing issues in case	Page 104 Lines 6 – 7		Sustained/Granted
Page 106 Lines 1 - 25	See prior objections relating to this exhibit above and in Defendants' Objections to Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures			Overruled/Denied
Page 107 Lines 1 – 25	Speculation, irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, improper legal opinion			Overruled/Denied
Page 108 Lines 1 – 4	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 108 Lines 20 - 25	Improper damages testimony from third party lay witness, improper reference to damages as his own, unduly prejudicial, misleading,			Overruled/Denied
Page 109 Lines 1 - 8	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied as to lines 1-6; Sustained/Granted as to lines 7-8

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
Page 109 Lines 22 - 25	See prior objection as to document, this portion of testimony is asked and answered, irrelevant and adds nothing if prior testimony surrounding document is allowed			Overruled/Denied
Page 110 Lines 1 - 3	See prior objection			Overruled/Denied
Page 112 Lines 5 - 14	No question designated, nonresponsive, unduly prejudicial			Sustained/Granted
Page 113 Lines 14 - 25	Asked and answered, irrelevant, unduly prejudicial			Overruled/Denied
Page 114 Lines 1 - 25	None			
Page 115 Lines 1 - 25	Unduly prejudicial, improper legal opinion, improper testimony about substance of legal document, irrelevant			Overruled/Denied as to lines 1-7; Sustained/Granted as to lines 8-25
Page 116 Lines 1 – 5	See prior objection			Sustained/Granted
Page 117 Lines 1 – 25	None			

2. Deponent Nicole Gostebski

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
Page 1 Cover Page	None			
Page 4 Lines 1 – 25	Defendants object as irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of			Sustained/Granted

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	issues and misleading. There is no reason to show this to the jury, especially considering not every exhibit listed is admissible or being shown to the jury.			
Page 5 Lines 1 - 25	Defendants object as irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of issues and misleading. There is no reason to show this to the jury, especially considering not every exhibit listed is admissible or being shown to the jury.			Sustained/Granted
Page 6 Lines 1 – 3	None			
Page 6 Lines 16 – 20	None			
Page 9 Lines 4 – 11	Atty testifying, compound question			Sustained/Granted
Page 12 Lines 22 – 25	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of the issues, misleading as discussing depositions in another lawsuit that are not being introduced here			Sustained/Granted
Page 13 Lines 1 - 4	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of the issues, misleading as discussing depositions in another lawsuit that are not being introduced here	Page 13 Lines 5 – 8		Sustained/Granted
Page 13 Lines 21 - 25	None			
Page 14 Lines 1 – 15	Compound question, asked			Overruled/Denied

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	and answered			
Page 15 Lines 5 – 8	None			
Page 15 Lines 19 – 24	Attorney testifying, compound question			Overruled/Denied
Page 16 Lines 4 – 25	Irrelevant	Page 14 Lines 16 - 25 Page 15 Lines 1 - 4		Overruled/Denied
Page 17 Lines 1 – 6	Irrelevant, asked and answered			Overruled/Denied
Page 17 Lines 24 - 25	No question asked of witness			Sustained/Granted
Page 18 Lines 1	No question asked of witness			Sustained/Granted
Page 18 Lines 17 – 24	Irrelevant			Overruled/Denied
Page 21 Lines 14 – 18	Irrelevant, confusion of the issues, unduly prejudicial			Overruled/Denied
Page 23 Lines 3 – 12	Irrelevant, attorney testifying, confusion of the issues			Overruled/Denied
Page 24 Lines 1 – 25	Irrelevant, attorney testifying, confusion of the issues			Overruled/Denied
Page 25 Lines 1 - 18	Attorney testifying, partial questions			Overruled/Denied
Page 25 Lines 24 – 25	None			
Page 26 Lines 1 – 5	None			
Page 26 Lines 21 - 23	None			
Page 27 Lines 2 - 6	None			
Page 27 Lines 14 – 19	Irrelevant			Overruled/Denied
Page 29 Lines 1 - 16	Compound question, speculative			Overruled/Denied
Page 29 Lines 20 – 25	Irrelevant			Overruled/Denied
Page 30 Lines 9 - 25	Speculative, attorney testifying			Overruled/Denied

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
Page 31 Lines 1 – 4	Compound question, speculative			Overruled/Denied
Page 31 Lines 9 – 20	None	Page 31 Lines 21 - 23		
Page 32 Lines 7 – 24	Asked and answered			Overruled/Denied
Page 33 Lines 1 – 16	Partial question			Overruled/Denied
Page 33 Lines 22 – 24	Irrelevant			Overruled/Denied
Page 34 Lines 16 – 19	Could be mooted by summary judgement			Overruled/Denied
Page 35 Lines 6 - 23	Attorney testifying, irrelevant, Counsel examining witness about document that could confuse the issues and mislead the jury and is unduly prejudicial. See objections to Exhibits.	Page 35 Lines 24 - 25 Page 36 Lines 1 - 5		Sustained/Granted
Page 36 Lines 6 - 25	Attorney testifying, non-verbal answer, irrelevant, Counsel examining witness about document that could confuse the issues and mislead the jury and is unduly prejudicial. See objections to Exhibits.			Sustained/Granted
Page 37 Lines 1 - 21	Attorney testifying, Counsel examining witness about document that could confuse the issues and mislead the jury and is unduly prejudicial. See objections to Exhibits.			Sustained/Granted
Page 38 Lines 11 - 15	Attorney testifying, asked and answered, Counsel			Sustained/Granted

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	examining witness about document that could confuse the issues and mislead the jury and is unduly prejudicial. See objections to Exhibits.			
Page 38 Lines 21 - 24	No question asked of witness, Counsel examining witness about document that could confuse the issues and mislead the jury and is unduly prejudicial. See objections to Exhibits.			Sustained/Granted
Page 39 Lines 1 – 6	Counsel examining witness about document that could confuse the issues and mislead the jury and is unduly prejudicial. See objections to Exhibits.			Sustained/Granted
Page 39 Lines 12 – 18	Attorney testifying, partial question, Counsel examining witness about document that could confuse the issues and mislead the jury and is unduly prejudicial. See objections to Exhibits.			Sustained/Granted
Page 39 Lines 24 – 25	Counsel examining witness about document that could confuse the issues and mislead the jury and is unduly prejudicial.			Sustained/Granted

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	See objections to Exhibits.			
Page 40 Lines 22 - 25	Counsel examining witness about document that could confuse the issues and mislead the jury and is unduly prejudicial. See objections to Exhibits.			Overruled/Denied
Page 41 Lines 1 - 21	Attorney testifying, irrelevant, confusion of issues, unduly prejudicial, exhibit objectionable as noted in objections to exhibits, Counsel examining witness about document that could confuse the issues and mislead the jury and is unduly prejudicial. See objections to Exhibits.			Sustained/Granted
Page 47 Lines 16 - 25	Objection to document on which witness is being examined, irrelevant, Counsel examining witness about document that could confuse the issues and mislead the jury and is unduly prejudicial. See objections to Exhibits.			Sustained/Granted as to lines 16 through part of 23; Overruled/Denied as to "What's a loan servicing platform" and line 25
Page 48 Lines 1 - 10	Objection to document on which witness is being examined which is not on Plaintiff's exhibit list			Overruled/Denied
Page 49 Lines 4 - 10	Objection to document on			Overruled/Denied

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	which witness is being examined which is not on Plaintiff's exhibit list, irrelevant, confusion of issues			
Page 49 Lines 17 – 25	Objection to document on which witness is being examined which is not on Plaintiff's exhibit list, no question asked of witness			Overruled/Denied
Page 50 Lines 1 – 2	Objection to document on which witness is being examined which is not on Plaintiff's exhibit list, no question asked of witness			Overruled/Denied
Page 50 Lines 9 – 10	No question asked of witness			Sustained/Granted
Page 54 Lines 2 - 13	Improper foundation for document and document is hearsay			Overruled/Denied
Page 54 Lines 17 - 21	Improper foundation for document and document is hearsay			Overruled/Denied
Page 55 Lines 1 – 8	Improper foundation for document and document is hearsay, attorney testifying, confusing			Overruled/Denied
Page 55 Lines 16 – 25	Improper foundation and hearsay as to document	Page 56 Lines 4 – 6		Overruled/Denied
Page 57 Lines 21 - 25	None			Overruled/Denied
Page 58 Lines 1 - 25	Improper foundation for document and document is hearsay			Overruled/Denied

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
Page 59 Lines 4 - 25	Improper foundation for document and document is hearsay, attorney testifying			Overruled/Denied
Page 60 Lines 1 – 4	Continuation of prior designation			Overruled/Denied
Page 60 Lines 22 – 25	Improper foundation for document, attorney testifying			Overruled/Denied
Page 61 Lines 1 - 25	Improper foundation for documents, document is hearsay, compound question, incomplete questions and answers, attorney testifying			Overruled/Denied
Page 62 Lines 1 – 12	Improper foundation for document and document is hearsay, attorney testifying, speculative, asked and answered			Overruled/Denied
Page 63 Lines 10 – 25	Improper foundation for document and document is hearsay, irrelevant, confusing the issues			Overruled/Denied as to lines 10-16; Sustained/Granted as to lines 17-25
Page 64 Lines 1 – 23	Improper foundation for document and document is hearsay, irrelevant, confusing the issues, unduly prejudicial, attorney testifying, compound question			Sustained/Granted
Page 65 Lines 7 - 21	None			
Page 66 Lines 3 - 14	Compound question, objection as to foundation,			Sustained/Granted

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	hearsay for the document			
Page 67 Lines 5 - 25	Improper foundation for documents and documents are hearsay, irrelevant, confusing the issues, hearsay			Sustained/Granted as to lines 5-19; Overruled/Denied as to lines 20-25
Page 68 Lines 1 – 3	Continuation of designation			Overruled/Denied
Page 68 Lines 8 – 18	Irrelevant, unduly prejudicial, confusion of issues, asked and answered			Sustained/Granted
Page 68 Lines 22 – 25	Improper foundation and document is hearsay			Overruled/Denied
Page 69 Lines 1 – 5	Improper foundation and document is hearsay			Overruled/Denied
Page 69 Lines 12 - 17	Confusing (lines 12-13 unnecessary), asked and answered			Overruled/Denied
Page 74 Lines 18 – 25	No objection if include entire response	Page 75 Lines 1 – 2		
Page 75 Lines 3 - 25	Asked and answered			Overruled/Denied
Page 76 Lines 1 – 8	None			
Page 76 Lines 13 – 25	Document is hearsay, irrelevant			Overruled/Denied
Page 77 Lines 1 - 23	Documents are hearsay, confusing, no foundation for photo referenced, hearsay	Page 77 Lines 24 - 25 Page 78 Lines 1 - 2 Page 78 Lines 20 – 24		Overruled/Denied
Page 79 Lines 25	None			
Page 80 Lines 1 - 5	None			
Page 80 Lines 8 - 12	None			
Page 80 Lines 20 - 25	Irrelevant, asked and answered, confusing the			Overruled/Denied

Plaintiff's Designation	Defendants' Objection	Defendants' Counter Designation	Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Counter Designation	Court's Ruling
	issues, unduly prejudicial			
Page 81 Lines 1 - 20	Irrelevant, asked and answered, confusing the issues, unduly prejudicial			Overruled/Denied
Page 83 Lines 1 - 20	None			