



*novo* review, but instead must “only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

In light of the standards set forth above, the Court has reviewed, *de novo*, the Report and the Petitioner’s Objection. The Court finds that no where in Petitioner’s four-paragraph filing does he meaningfully counter the core conclusion of the Magistrate Judge’s analysis, specifically, the finding that Petitioner filed the instant action well outside the one year limitation period for § 2254 petitions.

For the forgoing reasons, the Court concurs with the reasoning of the Magistrate Judge and adopts the Report and incorporates it herein by reference, (ECF No. 30), overruling Petitioner’s Objection. (ECF No. 32). Respondent’s Motion for Summary Judgment, (ECF No. 23), is **GRANTED** and Petitioner’s Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus is **DISMISSED**. Petitioner’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, (ECF No. 17), and Motion to Consolidate Cases, (ECF No. 33), are terminated as **MOOT**.

#### **Certificate of Appealability**

The governing law provides that:

(2) A certificate of appealability may issue . . . only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.

(3) The certificate of appealability . . . shall indicate which specific issue or issues satisfy the showing required by paragraph (2).

28 U.S.C. § 2253© . A prisoner satisfies the standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find this court's assessment of his constitutional claims debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. *See Miller–El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336, 123 S.Ct. 1029,

154 L.Ed.2d 931 (2003); *Rose v. Lee*, 252 F.3d 676, 683 (4th Cir.2001). In this case, the legal standard for the issuance of a certificate of appealability has not been met. Therefore, a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Mary G. Lewis  
United States District Judge

September 21, 2015  
Columbia, South Carolina