

recommendation were timely filed by plaintiff on August 5, 2015.

A de novo review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation is **AFFIRMED**, and plaintiff's complaint is summarily **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motions to consolidate cases and to amend complaint (ECF Nos. 17 and 18) are deemed **MOOT**, and plaintiff's motion to change venue and for recusal (ECF No. 19) is **DENIED**.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.



David C. Norton
United States District Judge

August 12, 2015
Charleston, South Carolina

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure

of what is required." Id. at 846. Plaintiff was advised in a clear manner that his objections had to be filed within ten (10) days, and he received notice of the consequences at the appellate level of his failure to object to the magistrate judge's report.