

Joshua E. Wood,)	C.A. #4:17-1137-PMD
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	<u>ORDER</u>
)	
A.B.L. Food Services, Cherokee County)	
Detention Center)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. Thomas v Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984). No objections have been filed to the magistrate judge's report.

[Dockets.Justia.com](https://www.dockets.justia.com)

ORDERED, that the magistrate judge's report and recommendation is adopted as the order of this Court, and

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

June 6, 2017
Charleston, South Carolina



PATRICK MICHAEL DUFFY
United States District Judge