

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
 FLORENCE DIVISION

Bahaadur Aalim Abd ‘ul’ Ahad,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Civil Action No. 4:17-cv-1156-TLW
v.	)	
	)	
Cheryl Douglas, Chesterfield	)	<b><u>ORDER</u></b>
Convalescent Center, Grace M. Villafranca,	)	
Cheraw Police Dept., Keith Thomas, John	)	
Does 1-4, Bessie Thomas,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

On May 3, 2017, Plaintiff Bahaadur Aalim Abd ‘ul’ Ahad brought this action, *pro se*, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for “defamation (libel per se) and (slander per se).” ECF No. 1-1 at 2. This matter now comes before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (the Report), filed on July 26, 2017, by United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, to whom this case was previously assigned pursuant to Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2), (D.S.C.). ECF No. 18. The Report recommends that this case be summarily dismissed. *Id.* The deadline to object to the Report was August 9, 2017. Plaintiff filed a motion for extension, which the Court granted, extending the deadline until August 24, 2017. ECF No. 21. On August 25, 2017, Plaintiff filed a request for a second extension of time, which the Court granted, extending the deadline to object to the Report until September 8, 2017. ECF No. 25. Plaintiff failed to file objections to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C.

§ 636. In the absence of objections to the Report, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Report, relevant filings, and applicable law. The Court notes that it extended the deadline to object on two occasions. Plaintiff failed to file objections to the Report by the extended deadlines. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 18, is **ACCEPTED**, and this action is **DISMISSED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

*s/Terry L. Wooten*  
Chief United States District Judge

September 28, 2017  
Columbia, South Carolina