

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Willie Chapman,)	C/A No. 5:13-cv-00605-RMG-KDW
)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
)	
Warden of Manning Correctional)	
Institution,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	
)	

Petitioner, proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis*, brought this action seeking habeas corpus relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Respondent filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on May 9, 2013. ECF No. 27. As Petitioner is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order on May 10, 2013, pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), advising Petitioner of the importance of a motion for summary judgment and of the need for him to file an adequate response. ECF No. 30. Petitioner was informed that his response was due by June 13, 2013, and was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, Respondent’s motion may be granted, thereby ending his case.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court’s *Roseboro* order, Petitioner has failed to respond to the motion. As such, it appears to the court that Petitioner does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon his action against Respondent. Based on the foregoing, Petitioner is directed to advise the court whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to Respondent’s Motion for Summary

Judgment by **July 5, 2013**. Petitioner is further advised that if he fails to respond, this action against Respondent will be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Kaymani D. West". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized initial "K".

June 17, 2013
Florence, South Carolina

Kaymani D. West
United States Magistrate Judge