## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Laura D. Johnson,	Civil Action No.: 5:14-4292-BHH
Plaintiff,	
v. )	OPINION AND ORDER
Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	
Defendant. )	

This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Kaymani D. West, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rules 73.02(B)(2)(a) and 83.VII.02 for the District of South Carolina. Plaintiff Laura D. Johnson ("Plaintiff") brought this action seeking judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying Plaintiff's claim for supplemental security income.

On December 4, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation in which she recommended that the Commissioner's decision be reversed pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) and that the case be remanded to the Commissioner for further consideration. (ECF No. 24.) Neither party filed objections and the time for doing so expired on January 5, 2016.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole

or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to him

with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of a timely filed objection, a

district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must "only satisfy itself that

there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation."

Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

The Court has carefully reviewed the record and concurs in the recommendation

of the Magistrate Judge. The Court adopts the Report and Recommendation and

incorporates it herein by reference. The decision of the Commissioner to deny benefits

is **reversed** and the action is **remanded** for further administrative action consistent with

this order and the Report and Recommendation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>/s/ Bruce Howe Hendricks</u> United States District Judge

January 11, 2016 Greenville, South Carolina