UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Carius Kenta Lomax,)	C/A No. 5:16-cv-00398-MGL-KDW
Petitioner,)	
v.)	ORDER
Warden, Perry Correctional Institution,	
Respondent.)	

Petitioner, proceeding pro se brought this action seeking habeas corpus relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Respondent filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on May 23, 2016. ECF No. 32. As Petitioner is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order on May 24, 2016, pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), advising Petitioner of the importance of a motion for summary judgment and of the need for him to file an adequate response. ECF No. 33. Petitioner was informed that his response was due by June 27, 2016, and was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, Respondent's motion may be granted, thereby ending his case.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's *Roseboro* order, Petitioner has failed to respond. As such, it appears to the court that Petitioner does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon his action against Respondent. Based on the foregoing, Petitioner is directed to advise the court whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment

by **August 8, 2016**. Petitioner is further advised that if he fails to respond, this action against Respondent will be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

July 6, 2016 Florence, South Carolina Kaymani D. West United States Magistrate Judge

Haymai D. Hest