

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ORANGEBURG DIVISION

§ §

ş

§

§

Ş

ANTONIO HERNANDEZ-VILAR, Petitioner,

VS.

B. M. ANTONELLI, Respondent. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:18-00183-MGL-KDW

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING THE PETITION WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Petitioner, who is proceeding pro se, filed this as a 28 U.S.C. § 2241 action. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting the Petition be dismissed without prejudice. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on February 14, 2018, but Petitioner failed to file any objections to the Report. "[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not

conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court the Petition is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

To the extent Petitioner requests a certificate of appealability from this Court, that certificate is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 1st day of March, 2018, in Columbia, South Carolina.

s/ Mary Geiger Lewis MARY GEIGER LEWIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within sixty days from the

date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.