

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Altony Brooks,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.)
)
 Kevin O. Borghi, Leroy Ravenell,)
 State Fiscal Accountability Insurance)
 Reserve Fund, Robert Hill Lake,)
 Orangeburg County Sheriff's Office,)
)
 Defendants.)
 _____)

Civil Action No. 5:21-cv-3282-BHH

ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon Plaintiff Altony Brooks' ("Plaintiff") pro se complaint. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for preliminary determinations in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(d) (D.S.C.).

On November 18, 2022, Magistrate Judge Molly H. Cherry issued a report and recommendation ("Report"), outlining the issues and recommending that the Court dismiss Robert Hill Lake, Orangeburg County Sheriff's Office, and State Fiscal Accountability Insurance Reserve Fund as Defendants to this action. The Magistrate Judge further recommended service of the complaint on Defendants Kevin O. Borghi and Leroy Ravenell. (ECF No. 29.) Attached to the Magistrate Judge's Report was a notice advising Plaintiff of the right to file written objections to the Report within fourteen days of being served with a copy. To date, no objections have been filed.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to the Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final

determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination only of those portions of the Report to which specific objections are made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of specific objections, the Court reviews the matter only for clear error. See *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that “in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’”) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note).

Here, because no party has filed objections to the Report, the Court has reviewed the record, the applicable law, and the findings and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge for clear error. After review, the Court finds no clear error and agrees with the Magistrate Judge’s analysis. Accordingly, the Court adopts the Magistrate Judge’s Report (ECF No. 29), and the Court dismisses this action only as to Defendants Robert Hill Lake, Orangeburg County Sheriff’s Office, and State Fiscal Accountability Insurance Reserve Fund. The Complaint should be served on the remaining Defendants, Kevin O. Borghi and Leroy Ravenell.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Bruce H. Hendricks
United States District Judge

December 8, 2022
Charleston, South Carolina