

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

2010 OCT -1 A 8:461

This matter is before the Court upon the recommendation of Magistrate Judge McDonald that the above-captioned case be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Because Plaintiff Frank Dixon, Jr. is proceeding pro se, this matter was referred to the Magistrate Judge.¹

This Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the Magistrate Judge’s report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1985).² No objections have been filed

¹See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Local Rule 73.02(B)(2)(e).

²In Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985), the court held “that a *pro se* litigant must receive fair notification of the consequences of failure to object to a magistrate’s report before such a procedural default will result in waiver of the right to appeal. The notice must be

to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

A review of the record indicates that the Magistrate Judge's report accurately summarizes the case and the applicable law. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is adopted as the Order of this Court. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the instant action be **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.



The Honorable Richard Mark Gergel
United States District Judge

Charleston, South Carolina
September 30, 2010

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within 30 days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

'sufficiently understandable to one in appellant's circumstances fairly to appraise him of what is required.'" Wright, 766 F.2d at 846 (quoting Hudson v. Hardy, 412 F.2d 1091, 1094 (D.C. Cir. 1968)). Plaintiff was advised in a clear manner that his objections had to be filed within fourteen (14) days, and he received notice of the consequences at the appellate level of his failure to object to the Magistrate Judge's report.