Smith v. Lynch et al Doc. 41

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Terry Jerome Smith,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
VS.)	Civil Action No. 6:11-109-TLW-KFM
)	
Bruce A. Lynch, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

On January 18, 2011, the Plaintiff, Terry Jerome Smith ("Plaintiff"), proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil action construed as alleging violations of 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Doc. #1).

The matter now comes before this Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed by Magistrate Judge Kevin F. McDonald to whom this case had previously been assigned. In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed for failure to prosecute pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (Doc. #35). Objections were due by October 17, 2011. Plaintiff has filed no objections to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. <u>See Camby</u> v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate

Judge's Report and Recommendation is ACCEPTED. (Doc. #35). The Defendants' respective

Motions for Summary Judgment are GRANTED. (Docs. #21 and #25). Plaintiff's complaint is

thereby **DISMISSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Terry L. Wooten
United States District Judge

October 25, 2011 Florence, South Carolina