## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Gregory Gamache,	
Plaintiff,	) C/A No. 6:11-00566-TMC
Fiamun,	)
v.	ORDER
	)
	)
The State of South Carolina,	)
	)
Defendant.	)
	)

Gregory Gamache ("Plaintiff"), a *pro se* Plaintiff, filed this civil action against the Defendant seeking "immediate passage of a state law, protecting its citizens from the use of electronic weapons." (Complaint at 2). The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. # 15), filed on April 18, 2011, recommends that the Court dismiss the Complaint in the above-captioned case without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Report and Recommendation sets forth in detail the relevant facts and legal standards on this matter, and the court incorporates the Magistrate Judge's Report herein without a recitation.

The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Magistrate Judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1).

Plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report and Recommendation

(Doc. # 15 at 8). However, Plaintiff filed no objections to the Report and Recommendation.

In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, this

court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v.

Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a

district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is

no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v.

Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72

advisory committee's note). Furthermore, failure to file specific written objections to the Report

and Recommendation results in a party's waiver of the right to appeal from the judgment of the

District Court based upon such recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474

U.S. 140 (1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); United States v. Schronce, 727

F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).

After a thorough review of the Report and Recommendation and the record in this case,

the court adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. # 15) and

incorporates it herein. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Complaint in the above-captioned

case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Timothy M. Cain United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina

October 19, 2011

2

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within 60 days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.