IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Davorious M. Mack,) C.A. No. 6:11-1230-TMC
Plaintiff,) C.A. No. 0.11-1250-1MC
v.	ORDER
South Carolina Dept. of Corrections;)
Michael McCall, P.C.I.; Ltd Haronff, P.C.I.;)
Sgt. T. Robertson, P.C.I.;	,)
Cpl. R. Root, P.C.I.,)
Defendants.)))

Davorious M. Mack (Mack), a *pro se* plaintiff, filed this civil action against the defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation (Report), filed on August 11, 2011 (Dkt. No. 27), recommends that the court deny the Mack's motion for default judgment (Dkt. No. 24). The Report sets forth in detail the relevant facts and legal standards on this matter, and the court incorporates the Report without a recitation.

The magistrate judge's Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the magistrate judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Mack was advised of his right to file objections to the Report (Dkt. No. 27-1). However, Mack has filed no objections.

In the absence of objections to the magistrate judge's Report, this court is not required to

provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199

(4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not

conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face

of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.,

416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

Furthermore, failure to file specific written objections to the Report results in a party's waiver of

the right to appeal from the judgment of the district court based upon such recommendation. 28

U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir.

1985); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case, the court adopts the

magistrate judge's Report (Dkt. No. 27) and incorporates it here. It is therefore **ORDERED** that

the Plaintiff's motion for default judgment (Dkt. No. 24) in the above-captioned case is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Timothy M. Cain
Timothy M. Cain
United States District Index

United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina

November 22, 2011

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of

the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.