UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Quintin M. Littlejohn,)
) Circil Antinu No. 6:12 00070 IMC
)Civil Action No. 6:13-cv-00870-JMC
Petitioner,)
V.) ORDER AND OPINION
)
)
United States of America,)
with all agents in active concert)
both individually and in their official capacity, Respondent.)
)
)
)
)

This matter is before the court for review of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [Dkt. No. 8], filed on April 9, 2013, recommending that Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Mandamus [Dkt. No. 1] be summarily dismissed in the above-captioned case without prejudice and without service of process. The Report sets forth in detail the relevant facts and legal standards on this matter which the court incorporates herein without a recitation.

The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. "The Court is not bound by the recommendation of the magistrate judge but, instead, retains responsibility for the final determination." *Wallace v. Hous. Auth.*, 791 F. Supp. 137, 138 (D.S.C. 1992) (citing *Matthews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 271 (1976)). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Magistrate Judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Petitioner was advised of his right to file objections to the Report [Dkt. No. 8 at 5]. However, Petitioner filed no objections to the Report.

In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report, this court is not required to

provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199

(4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct

a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the

record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d

310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Furthermore,

failure to file specific written objections to the Report results in a party's waiver of the right to

appeal from the judgment of the District Court based upon such recommendation. 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985);

United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).

Therefore, after a thorough and careful review of the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation, and the record in this case, the court finds the Magistrate Judge's Report provides

an accurate summary of the facts and law in the instant case and the record in this case. The court

ACCEPTS the Report and Recommendation [Dkt. No. 8]. For the reasons articulated by the

Magistrate Judge, it is therefore **ORDERED** that Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Mandamus [Dkt.

No. 1] is **SUMMARILY DISMISSED** the above-captioned case without prejudice and without

service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

J. Michalla Childs

United States District Judge

April 30, 2013

Greenville, South Carolina

2