

part, the magistrate judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, the court has no duty to conduct a de novo review if a party's objections are "general and conclusory" and "do not direct the court to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." *Orpiano v. Johnson*, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982).

In this case, Jones filed timely objections to the Report. (ECF No. 13.) However, his objections merely restate factual allegations and legal conclusions from his complaint and fail to address any specific, dispositive portion of the Report.¹ The court has thoroughly reviewed the Report and Jones's objections and finds no reason to deviate from the Report's recommended disposition. Accordingly, the court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. This case is, therefore, dismissed without prejudice and without service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Timothy M. Cain
United States District Court Judge

September 17, 2013
Anderson, South Carolina

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this order pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

¹ In addition, to the extent Jones has moved this court to dismiss his state court indictment, (ECF No. 13-1) that motion is not properly before this court.