



made. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). In the absence of a timely filed Objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must “only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

In light of the standards set forth above, the Court has reviewed, *de novo*, the entire record, including, in particular, the Report and Plaintiff’s Objection. The Court concludes that none of Plaintiff’s objections supply facts or argument which meaningfully counter the reasoned conclusion of the Magistrate Judge that Plaintiff’s Complaint fails to allege any actionable federal claims.

For the forgoing reasons, the Court concurs with the reasoning of the Magistrate Judge and adopts the Report and incorporates it herein by reference, (ECF No. 12), overruling Plaintiff’s Objection. (ECF No. 14). Plaintiff’s Complaint is thereby **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. Additionally, in light of the foregoing, and consistent with the Report’s recommendation, the Court expressly declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over any potential state law claims presented.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Mary Geiger Lewis  
United States District Judge

March 25, 2016  
Columbia, South Carolina