


**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

|   |   |                        |
|---|---|------------------------|
| Jeanette Jamai Israel,  | ) |                        |
|   | ) |                        |
| Plaintiff,  | ) | C.A. No. 6:16-1319-RMG |
|   | ) |                        |
| vs.   | ) |                        |
|   | ) |                        |
| Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner<br>of Social Security, | ) | <b>ORDER</b>           |
|   | ) |                        |
| Defendant.  | ) |                        |
|   | ) |                        |
| _____   | ) |                        |

This matter comes before the Court on the Commissioner’s motion to remand this Social Security Act appeal to the agency for further evaluation and review and conducting of a new administrative hearing. (Dkt. No. 20). The Commissioner has stated in the motion that upon remand, the agency will do the following: (1) allow Plaintiff to submit additional evidence; (2) re-evaluate Plaintiff’s mental impairments; (3) reconsider Plaintiff’s RFC; (4) evaluate further the opinions of Dr. Boyd; (5) evaluate further whether Plaintiff is capable of performing prior relevant work; and (6) obtain, if warranted, vocational expert evidence to determine of there are a significant number of jobs in the national economy that Plaintiff can perform. Plaintiff has filed no objections to the Commissioner’s motion for remand.

After a careful review of the record in this matter, the Court **GRANTS** the motion for remand, **REVERSES** the decision of the Commissioner pursuant to Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and **REMANDS** this matter to the agency to conduct further review and to take further action in accord with the Commissioner’s motion for remand.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R. M. Gergel', written over a horizontal line.

Richard Mark Gergel  
United States District Judge

January 24, 2017  
Charleston, South Carolina