## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Marcus S. Mosley,	)	Civil Action No.: 6:17-cv-02067-RBH
Plaintiff,	)	
V.	)	ORDER
Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,	)	
Defendant.	)	

This social security matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("R & R") of United States Magistrate Judge Kevin F. McDonald, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rules 73.02(B)(2)(a) and 83.VII.02 (D.S.C.). *See* ECF No. 23. The Magistrate Judge recommends affirming the Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff's claim for disability insurance benefits.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to the Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The Court must conduct a de novo review of those portions of the R & R to which specific objections are made, and it may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

Neither party has filed objections to the R & R, and the time for doing so has expired.<sup>1</sup> In the absence of objections to the R & R, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the Magistrate Judge's recommendations. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199–200 (4th Cir. 1983).

Objections were due by January 3, 2019. *See* ECF No. 23.

The Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See Diamond v. Colonial Life &

Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection,

a district court need not conduct de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no

clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation" (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P.

72 advisory committee's note)).

After a thorough review of the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error and therefore

adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's R & R [ECF No. 23]. Accordingly, the

Court **AFFIRMS** the Commissioner's final decision.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Florence, South Carolina January 4, 2019

s/R. Bryan Harwell
R. Bryan Harwell
Light of States District Index

United States District Judge

2