IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA SPARTANBURG DIVISION

Eric Williams,) Civil Action No.: 7:11-3497-MGL-KFM
Plaintiff,)
VS.	ORDER AND OPINION
Röchling Automotive USA, LLP,)
Defendant.)
)

Plaintiff Eric Williams filed this action against Defendant alleging claims for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. § 1201, *et seq.* and interference with rights under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") in his amended complaint. (ECF No. 15.)

Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Cause of Action concerning Plaintiff's ERISA claim on February 24, 2012. (ECF No. 22.) Plaintiff filed his response on March 12, 2012 (ECF No. 25) and Defendant filed a reply on March 22, 2012 (ECF No. 27). In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 D.S.C., this pretrial employment discrimination matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Kevin F. McDonald for consideration. The Magistrate Judge has prepared a thorough Report and Recommendation and suggests that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss be denied. The Report and Recommendation sets forth in detail the relevant facts and standards of law on this matter, and the court incorporates such without a recitation.

The court is charged with making a de novo determination of any portion of the Report and

Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The court may

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or

recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). In the absence

of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must "only

satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the

recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

The Magistrate Judge has recommended that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 22)

be denied. Defendant has filed no objections to the Report and Recommendation and the time for

doing so has expired.

After reviewing the motion, the record, and the Report and Recommendation of the

Magistrate Judge, the court finds no clear error. Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of

the Magistrate Judge is adopted and incorporated by reference. Therefore, it is ORDERED that

Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is DENIED. This action is recommitted to the Magistrate Judge for

further pretrial handling.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Mary G. Lewis

United States District Judge

Spartanburg, South Carolina

August 27, 2012

-2-