## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENWOOD DIVISION

| Robert Peoples, #27600,   | ) C/A NO. 8:07-2897-CMC-BHH |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Plaintiff,  | )<br>)<br>OPINION and ORDER |
| V.  | )                           |
| SCDC; Warden Anthony Padula, Lee<br>Correctional Institution; Lt. Clarence<br>Rogers, Lee C.I.; Lt. Matthew Williams,<br>Lee C.I.; Nurse Cheryl Owens, Lee C.I.;<br>Sgt. Belinda Davis, Lee C.I.; Sgt. Vivian<br>McCall, Lee C.I.; and IGC Ms. Driggers;<br>All in their individual Capacities, | ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )     |
| Defendants.   | )<br>)<br>_)                |

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint, filed in this court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Bruce Howe Hendricks for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On January 23, 2009, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that Defendants's motion for summary judgment be granted and Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment be denied. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if they failed to do so. Plaintiff filed objections to the Report on February 11, 2009.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court.

See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo

determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is

made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by

the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28

U.S.C. § 636(b). The court reviews the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection.

See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that

"in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but

instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept

the recommendation.") (citation omitted).

After reviewing the record of this matter, the applicable law, the Report and

Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and Plaintiff's objections, the court agrees with the

conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, this court adopts and incorporates the Report by

reference. Plaintiff's objections to the Report fail to provide sufficient argument, legal or factual,

to overcome the findings and recommendation of the Report.

Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. # 57) is granted and this matter is

dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie

CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina February 13, 2009

2