-BHH Bell v. Amason et al Doc. 51



## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

JOHN JAMES BELL,	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
vs.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 8:10-02085-HFF-BHH
	§
CATHRINE A. AMASON, MISCHA	§
MICHAEL, PAMELA HOUGH, CECILIA	§
REYNOLDS, JENNIE MCKAY, and	§
KAMISHA MILLER,	§
Defendants.	<b>§</b>

## **ORDER**

This case was filed as a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that the motion to dismiss Defendant Mischa Michael be granted and that Defendant Michael be dismissed without prejudice. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on November 29, 2010, and neither Plaintiff nor

Defendants filed any objections to the Report. In the absence of such objections, the Court is not

required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198,

199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766

F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment

of the Court that the motion to dismiss Defendant Michael be GRANTED and that Defendant

Michael be **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 23rd day of December, 2010, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd

HENRY F. FLOYD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\*\*\*\*

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within 30 days from the

date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

2