

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Michael Shealy,)	
)	C.A. No.8:11-cv-00757-JMC
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
Georgia-Pacific Wood Products, LLC,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

This matter is before the court on the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation [Doc. 36]. Plaintiff’s lawsuit arises out of his termination from his employment with Defendant. Plaintiff alleges causes of action for defamation, breach of contract, and breach of contract accompanied by a fraudulent act. The Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation, filed on October 31, 2011, recommends that Defendant’s Unopposed Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings be granted. [Doc. 25]. The Report and Recommendation sets forth in detail the relevant facts and legal standards on this matter, and the court incorporates the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation herein without a recitation.

The Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation or

recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Plaintiff filed no objections to the Report and Recommendation. In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.'" *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Furthermore, failure to file specific written objections to the Report and Recommendation results in a party's waiver of the right to appeal from the judgment of the District Court based upon such recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).

After a thorough review of the Report and Recommendation and the record in this case, the court adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [Doc. 36]. It is therefore **ORDERED** that Defendant's Unopposed Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings[Doc. 25] is **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "J. Michelle Childs". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina
November 22, 2011