

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
FLORENCE DIVISION

Michael A. Singleton, )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 vs. )  
 )  
 10 Unidentified U.S. Marshals et al.; )  
 The State of S.C.; The State of N.J.; )  
 The Bergen County System; The )  
 9th Judicial Circuit System etc.; Judge )  
 Dedra Jefferson; Judge Victor A. Rawl; )  
 Assistant Solicitor Debra H. Lash; )  
 North Charleston Police Department; )  
 Sheriff Al Cannon, Jr.; Magistrate )  
 Judge Bruce H. Hendricks; Judge )  
 C.W. Houck; Robert O’Neil Phipps, Jr.; )  
 and Public Defender Kelly K. Solars, )  
 )  
 Defendants. )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ )

Civil Action No.: 8:11-cv-1811-TLW-JDA

**ORDER**

On July 27, 2011, the plaintiff, Michael A. Singleton (“plaintiff”), proceeding pro se, filed this civil action. (Doc. #1). The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Jacquelyn D. Austin pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2), DSC.

This matter now comes before this Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by the Magistrate Judge to whom this case had previously been assigned. (Doc. # 16). On September 1, 2011, the Magistrate Judge issued the Report. In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the plaintiff’s complaint be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and

service of process. (Doc. # 16). The plaintiff filed no objections to the Report. Objections were due on September 19, 2011.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. It is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED**. (Doc. # 16). For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, the plaintiff's complaint is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Terry L. Wooten  
United States District Judge

October 19, 2011  
Florence, South Carolina