Mitchell v. Allen et al Doc. 17

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Bennie Darren Mitchell,) C/A NO. 8:11-3361-CMC-	BM
Plaintiff,)	
V.) OPINION and ORDER)	
Desiree R. Allen; and Joy E. Holston,)	
Defendants.)	
)	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint, filed in this court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On January 17, 2012, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that Plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order/preliminary injunction be denied and the complaint be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff filed objections to the Report on January 30, 2012.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is

made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by

the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28

U.S.C. § 636(b).

After conducting a de novo review as to objections made, and considering the record, the

applicable law, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and Plaintiff's objections,

the court agrees with the conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the court adopts and

incorporates the Report and Recommendation by reference in this Order.

Plaintiff's objections offer repeated conclusory assertions that he has adequately pleaded and

shown a violation of constitutional rights, and that he should be allowed to proceed and be granted

injunctive relief. However, these conclusory assertions without more do not address any alleged

infirmities of the Report.

Therefore, Plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order/preliminary injunction (ECF No.

2) is **denied**, and this action is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of

process.1

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie

CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina

March 9, 2012

¹The Magistrate Judge's Order filed January 17, 2012, denied Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel. *See* Order at 2 (ECF No. 9). The Clerk is directed to terminate this motion.

2